

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

APPLICATION NO.	· Fl	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,608	-	08/11/2003	Patrick Flynn	ENER-0001-4	2473
22506	7590	07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
JAGTIANI 10363-A DE		<del>-</del>	LANGEL,	LANGEL, WAYNE A	
FAIRFAX,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1754	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/637,608	FLYNN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Wayne Langel	1754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	nddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thin od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered tim  NTHS from the mailing date of this  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	iely. communication.
Status		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	<u> June 2005</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 2-4,7-11,15-18,21-27,31-34,37-41	and 45-53 is/are pending in	the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-18,21-27,31-34	# and 37-41 is/are withdrawr	from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,7-11 and 47-53</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>45 and 46</u> is/are objected to.		• •	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form I	PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreing</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	,
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ol>	riority documents have beer	received in this Nationa	al Stage
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	t received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date	TO 152)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (P	10-102)

Application/Control Number: 10/637,608

Art Unit: 1754

Prosecution is reopened due to new grounds of rejection.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 7-11 and 47-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR 2645622 in view of Prentice (newly cited). It would be obvious from Prentice to employ a selenium compound as the odorous compound in the composition of FR '622, since FR '622 suggests in the Abstract that any odorous gaseous product may be added, and Prentice discloses on page 2, lines 6-10 that selenides have a distinctive and repulsive odor, and establishes the equivalence between mercaptans and selenides.

Claims 2 and 7-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR '622 in view of either Borschel et al (newly cited) or Rasmussen et al (newly cited). It would be obvious from either Borschel et al or Rasmussen et al to employ hydrogen selenide as the odorous compound in the composition of FR '622, since FR '622 suggests in the Abstract that any odorous gaseous product may be added, and Borschel et al and Rasmussen et al both teach that hydrogen selenide has a repugnant odor. (See col. 1, lines 42-44 of Borschel et al, and col. 4, lines 21-24 of Rasmussen et al.)

Claims 2, 3, 7-11, 47-49 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR '622 in view of Schrauzer (newly cited). It would be obvious from

Application/Control Number: 10/637,608

Art Unit: 1754

Schrauzer to employ an alkyl selenol as the odorous product in the composition of FR '622, since FR '622 suggests in the Abstract that any odorous gaseous product may be employed, and Schrauzer teaches in Paragragh [0021] that selenols have an unpleasant odor.

Claims 2, 4, 7-11, 50, 51 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over FR '622 in view of Baugh (newly cited). It would be obvious from Baugh to employ dimethyl selenide or its homologs as the odorous gaseous product in the composition of FR '622, since FR '622 suggests in the Abstract that any odorous gaseous product may be employed, and Baugh teaches in col. 5, lines 40-50 that methyl selenide and dimethyl selenide produce odors that are offensive to certain types of animals.

Applicants' argument, that the examiner has failed to respond to applicants' request for an affidavit/declaration under 37 CFR 1.104(d)(2), is not convincing. 37 CFR 1.104(d)(2) is not applicable, since the rule is applicable when facts based on the examiner's personal knowledge are used as a basis for rejection. In this case there are no facts based on the examiner's personal knowledge which are used as a basis for the rejection.

Applicants' argument, that the examiner has "admitted" that selenium compound are patentably distinct from sulfur compounds, is not convincing, since the teachings of FR '622 are not limited to the use of sulfur compounds.

Claims 45 and 46 are objected to as based on a rejected parent claim, and would be allowed if written in independent form.

Application/Control Number: 10/637,608

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayne Langel / Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754